	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/645,870	UDA, TETSUYA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Omar Rojas	2874
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Omar Rojas</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Keith E. George</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 December 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A.		
Claims discussed: 5 and 12		
Prior art documents discussed: US 6,577,438 B2 to Sugawara et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
On Nija		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner suggested to Mr. George changes to claims 5 and 12 in order to avoid any potential issues of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §103 in view of the Sugawara patent combined with the prior art of record. Mr. George kindly agreed to make certain changes to the claims. The changes that were agreed to have been incorporated into an attached Examiner's amendment.